Evidence	Description	Page
Evidence 4.1	Argos Training Schedule	2
Evidence 4.2	CME Progression Analysis	4
Evidence 4.3	Math Progression Analysis	21
Evidence 4.4	Discipline Schedule Example	23
Evidence 4.5	Fall 2011 Cohort Analysis Power Point	25
Evidence 4.6	Enrollment Data Summary	60
Evidence 4.7	Weekly Enrollment Report	63
Evidence 4.8	FTES Model	71
Evidence 4.9	Director IR Job Description	72
Evidence 4.10	Director IR Needs Analysis	76

Recommendation 4: Support for Institutional Research and Culture of Evidence



Argos 6-Day Advanced Training Package

Session Descriptions and Recommended Audience

Argos Demonstration

In this session we will demonstrate how to access the support resources available to Evisions clients, give an overview of Argos functionality, show attendees how to navigate and run a variety of reports and manipulate an OLAP cube

Audience: All User Groups and anyone who is interested in the seeing the full capabilities of Argos.

Topics Covered:

Identify support resources **Evisions** website Training schedule **Evisions COOP** Technical documentation Argos Folder Structure Purpose of folders Navigation in the folder structure Contents of folders Learn to execute a Dashboard and run Argos reports Demo of the different advanced reporting modules of Argos **OLAP** Cubes Drill through Charts

Report Specification Workshop (Part 1)

This is a trainer led discussion formatted to outline the criteria needed for creating report specifications during the DataBlock and report design process in preparation for the DataBlock Designer workshop. The objective of this session is to illustrate the elements needed for successful two-way communication between the different user groups.

Audience: Report Writers and DataBlock Designers

Requirements for success:

Descriptions of reports (examples, outlines) that will be created by the DataBlock Designers during the workshop.

Report Specification Workshop (Part 2)

This session provides an opportunity for the DataBlock Designers and Report Writers to provide feedback regarding the DataBlocks, report specifications and the process by which they were created and delivered in the previous sessions.

Audience: Report Writers and DataBlock Designers

MAPS Configuration and Security

This small group is designed to facilitate the discussion around MAPS configuration and security

Audience: Application Administrators and DBA (for purpose of security discussions)

Topics Covered:

ADO Connections Groups/Users **Email Server** Printers **File Operations** API Data Dictionary Multiple servers - Test vs. Production Administer Evisions support page logins Customize launch page

DataBlock Designer Training

In this hands-on training we will show the basics of building a DataBlock form and report query. This session also includes a more indepth look at OLAP cubes and dashboards and advanced SQL techniques

Audience: DataBlock Designers (an understanding of query logic or SQL is recommended)

Topics Covered:

Introduce the Support Resources Location **Technical Documentation** Forums DataBlock sharing DataBlocks Definition Creation Components Create dashboard variables Create a report query Introduce the Library of Objects Add objects to the library Create an object for a DataBlock template Security Applying object level security Applying field level security for report query Create an OLAP Cube Dashboards with multiple forms How to create navigation Create drill through charts Learn to apply advanced SQL techniques in the Visual Designer Subqueries Functions Unions Create a dataset in a report Create a schedule for a report Configure an API report

DataBlock Designer Trainer Facilitated Lab

In this workshop session DataBlock Designers will work independently, or in small groups, to create DataBlocks based on their own internal needs. The goal of this session is for the DataBlock Designers to create DataBlocks that the Report Writers will be able to use in the second training session. The DataBlock Designers should plan on completing a DataBlock in its entirety, or to make significant progress so that the DataBlock can be completed without assistance from the instructor before the next training session. The instructor will facilitate this session by assisting with planning, suggesting techniques, and one on one instruction. Audience: DataBlock Designers

Requirements for success:

Attendees must be familiar with the database (tables) Attendees should know SQL Bring predefined report specifications with them Testing and validation of the DataBlocks will need to be accomplished before the second training session

Devisions

Report Writer Training

In this hands-on training we will show the audience how to build and modify a CSV, Extract and Banded report *Audience: Report Writers and DataBlock Designers* **Topics Covered:** Introduce the Support Forums Discuss the difference between a shared and private report Understand the different ways security can be applied to reports Demonstrate the capabilities of each report type Create all three report types Add expressions to reports Add sorts to reports

Add grouping to banded and extract reports Introduce the Library of Objects Add and retrieve objects from the library

Create and use a library template

Learn how using API can make your reports accessible to more people

Report Writer Trainer Facilitated Lab

In this workshop session the Report Writers will create reports based on the DataBlocks created by the DataBlock Designers in the first training session. The attendees will work independently, or in small groups with the instructor facilitating by answering questions and giving direction.

Audience: Report Writers

Requirements for success:

Completed DataBlocks from the first sessions that have been tested, validated and are ready for publication.

	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3			
8am	Meet and Set up Training Lab	MAPS Configuration and Security	Flexible Time (General Q&A)			
9am	Argos Demonstration DataBlock Designers/Report Writers/All interested	MAPS Administrators and DBA				
10am	Report Specification Workshop (Part 1)	DataBlock Designer (Part 2)	DataBlock Designer (Part 4) DataBlock Designers			
11am	DataBlock Designers and Report Writers	DataBlock Designers				
Noon	Lunch Break	Lunch Break	Lunch Break			
1pm						
2pm	DataBlock Designer (Part 1)	DataBlock Designer (Part 3)	DataBlock Designer Trainer Facilitated Lab			
3pm	DataBlock Designers	DataBlock Designers	DataBlock Designers			
4pm			Engagement Review			
	DataBlock Designer Q&A	DataBlock Designer Q&A	Trainer and Project Manager			

Week Two

Week One

	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3				
8am	Meet and Set up Training Lab	Flexible Time (General Q&A)	Flexible Time (General Q&A)				
	Meet and Set up training Lab						
9am							
- 10							
10am	Report Writer (Part 1)	Report Writer (Part 3)	Report Specification Workshop (Part 2)				
11am	Report writers	Report Writers	DataBlock Designers and Report Writers				
1 Idini							
Noon							
	Lunch Break	Lunch Break	Lunch Break				
1pm							
2		Papart Writar	Poport Writer				
Zpm	Report Writer (Part 2)	Trainer Facilitated Lab	Trainer Facilitated Lab				
3pm	Report Writers	Report Writers	Report Writers				
- 10 111							
4pm			Engagement Review				
	Report Writer Q&A	Report Writer Q&A	Trainer and Project Manager				

Next Eng

		CME Semester										
	Spring	2010	Fall 2	Fall 2010		2011	Fall 2	2011	Spring	2012	Fall 2	012
ENG Course	Headcount	Success Rate										
ENGL001	12	92%	24	75%	23	61%	12	75%	21	62%	19	63%
ENGL046					1	100%						
ENGL062			3	100%			2	50%			1	100%
ENGL331							1	100%				
ENGL348G					1	0%			2	100%		
ENGL353			1	100%			1	100%				
ENGL355	1	100%	1	0%	1	100%	1	100%				
ENGL355L	1	100%	1	0%	1	100%	1	100%				
ENGL370	3	100%	12	83%	3	67%	6	67%	3	0%	9	100%
ENGL370L	3	100%	12	100%	3	100%	6	100%	2	50%	9	100%
ENGL380							1	0%				
Grand Total	16	95%	37	81%	29	67%	22	77%	26	57%	28	82%

Success Rate and Headcount broken down by CME Academic Period and CME Semester vs. ENG Course. The data is filtered on Timing, CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender and Ethnicity 1. The Timing filter keeps 1. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members.

All Next Eng

		CME Semester										
	Spring	2010	Fall 2	010	Spring	2011	Fall 2	011	Spring	2012	Fall 2012	
ENG Course	Headcount	Success Rate										
ENGL001	15	88%	34	62%	27	61%	18	71%	24	65%	28	70%
ENGL002	7	63%	10	46%	7	100%	5	83%	7	63%	6	33%
ENGL004	2	50%	5	100%	2	50%	2	100%	1	100%		
ENGL006	1	100%										
ENGL018			2	50%								
ENGL024					1	100%						
ENGL040					1	100%						
ENGL046					1	100%						
ENGL047					1	100%						
ENGL062	3	75%	5	83%	3	75%	4	80%	2	100%	1	100%
ENGL331							1	100%				
ENGL348G			1	0%	1	0%			2	100%		
ENGL353			1	100%			1	100%	1	100%		
ENGL355	1	100%	1	0%	1	100%	1	100%				
ENGL355L	1	100%	1	0%	1	100%	1	100%				
ENGL370	3	100%	12	83%	4	75%	6	71%	3	0%	9	100%
ENGL370L	3	100%	12	100%	4	100%	6	100%	2	50%	9	100%
ENGL380					1	0%	1	0%				
Grand Total	16	83%	37	69%	29	71%	22	79%	26	64%	28	76%

Success Rate and Headcount broken down by CME Academic Period and CME Semester vs. ENG Course. The data is filtered on CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender, Ethnicity 1 and Timing. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The Timing filter keeps 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5.





Unique ID for each CME Semester. Color shows details about ENG Course (group). The marks are labeled by average of ENG Success and ENG Course (group). The data is filtered on CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender and Ethnicity 1. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members.





Unique ID for each CME Semester. Color shows details about ENG Course (group). The marks are labeled by average of ENG Success and ENG Course (group). The data is filtered on CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender and Ethnicity 1. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members.

Next Chart - 0



Unique ID for each CME Semester. Color shows details about ENG Course (group). The marks are labeled by average of ENG Success and ENG Course (group). The data is filtered on CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender and Ethnicity 1. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members.

Next Chart - 1



Unique ID for each CME Semester. Color shows details about ENG Course (group). The marks are labeled by average of ENG Success and ENG Course (group). The data is filtered on CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender and Ethnicity 1. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members.



Unique ID for each CME Semester. Color shows details about ENG Course (group). The marks are labeled by average of ENG Success and ENG Course (group). The data is filtered on CME Score, CME Instructor, Gender and Ethnicity 1. The CME Score filter keeps 6 of 6 members. The CME Instructor filter keeps 16 of 16 members. The Gender filter keeps Female, Male and Not Reported. The Ethnicity 1 filter keeps 6 of 6 members.







ENG001 by Ethnicity

				CME Se	emester		
Ethnicity 1		Spring 2010	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012
Am. Indian or	Headcount	1	3	1	1	1	2
Alaskan Native	Success Rate	100%	60%	100%	100%	50%	100%
Asian or	Headcount	2	7	5	5	9	5
Pacific Islander	Success Rate	67%	55%	83%	67%	70%	100%
Black	Headcount	2	9	3		3	6
Non-Hispanic	Success Rate	100%	70%	50%		50%	50%
Hispanic	Headcount	2	4	5	3	6	7
	Success Rate	67%	50%	43%	50%	50%	71%
Other	Headcount	3	6	7	3	2	1
	Success Rate	100%	100%	63%	50%	100%	0%
White	Headcount	5	5	6	6	3	7
Non-Hispanic	Success Rate	100%	43%	57%	100%	100%	71%
Grand Total	Headcount	15	34	27	18	24	28
	Success Rate	88%	62%	61%	71%	65%	70%

Headcount and Success Rate broken down by CME Academic Period and CME Semester vs. Ethnicity 1. The data is filtered on ENG Course (group), which keeps ENGL001.

ENG001 by Gender

				CME Se	emester		
Gender		Spring 2010	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012
Female	Headcount	11	18	12	12	9	20
	Success Rate	92%	68%	53%	64%	50%	70%
Male	Headcount	4	16	15	6	14	8
	Success Rate	80%	57%	69%	86%	72%	70%
Not Reported	Headcount					1	
	Success Rate					100%	
Grand Total	Headcount	15	34	27	18	24	28
	Success Rate	88%	62%	61%	71%	65%	70%

Headcount and Success Rate broken down by CME Academic Period and CME Semester vs. Gender. The data is filtered on ENG Course (group), which keeps ENGL001.

ENG001 by Instructor

				CME Se	emester	1	
CME Instructor		Spring 2010	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012
COURY	Headcount		2		1		
	Success Rate		67%		100%		
DAWSON	Headcount		3	5		5	
	Success Rate		60%	71%		33%	
DEMARTINI	Headcount		6		4		
	Success Rate		100%		50%		
Gunby	Headcount						3
	Success Rate						100%
HASLAM	Headcount	5					
	Success Rate	100%					
KEYSER	Headcount	2	7	3	7	10	9
	Success Rate	100%	42%	50%	100%	67%	78%
Lowe	Headcount						6
	Success Rate						43%
REEVE	Headcount	1	4	3			
	Success Rate	100%	100%	67%			
SCHNEIDER	Headcount	3					
	Success Rate	75%					
Schouten	Headcount					2	2
	Success Rate					67%	100%
SCOTT	Headcount		7	4	3	1	
	Success Rate		56%	60%	25%	100%	
STEIN	Headcount	2	5	2	3	3	
	Success Rate	100%	50%	50%	100%	100%	
Swindle	Headcount					3	8
	Success Rate					100%	67%
VOGELPOHL	Headcount	2		6			
	Success Rate	67%		71%			
WATSON	Headcount			4			

Headcount and Success Rate broken down by CME Academic Period and CME Semester vs. CME Instructor. The data is filtered on ENG Course (group), which keeps ENGL001.

ENG001 by Instructor

				CME Se	emester		
CME Instructor		Spring 2010	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012
WATSON	Success Rate			40%			
Grand Total	Headcount	15	34	27	18	24	28
	Success Rate	88%	62%	61%	71%	65%	70%

Headcount and Success Rate broken down by CME Academic Period and CME Semester vs. CME Instructor. The data is filtered on ENG Course (group), which keeps ENGL001.



E4.3

Sheet 1

College Level	Success	College Level	Success	College Level	Success	1 30%
College Level	Success	College Level	Success	College Level	Juccess	
					Unsuccesstul	0.54%
				No More Math	No More Math	2.22%
			Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	0.23%
					Unsuccessful	0.31%
				No More Math	No More Math	1.22%
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	10.32%
		One Level Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.15%
		Two Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
	Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	College Level	Success	0.84%
					Unsuccessful	0.38%
				No More Math	No More Math	2.52%
			Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	0.69%
					Unsuccessful	0.38%
				No More Math	No More Math	2.06%
				One Level Below	Success	0.08%
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	5.20%
		One Level Below	Success	College Level	Success	0.37%
				No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.23%
One Level Below	Success	College Level	Success	College Level	Success	0.76%
					Unsuccessful	0.61%
				No More Math	No More Math	1.91%
			Unsuccessiui	College Level	Success	
				No Mars Math	Unsuccessiui	0.54%
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Meth	No More Math	1.7070 A 774/
			Success	College Level	Success	4./ 4/0
		One Level Below	0000855	College Level	Unguccosoful	0.09
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	045%
			2110000033IUI	One Level Relow	Unsuccessful	0.08%
		Two Levels Relow	Success	One Level Relow	Success	0.08%
	Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
	5116406685IUI	2011090 20101	Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.15%
			011000000101	One Level Below	Linsuccessful	0.03%
		Four Levels Below	Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	6.19%
		One Level Below	Success	College Level	Success	0.23%
					Unsuccessful	0.54%
				No More Math	No More Math	1.07%
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	2.52%
				One Level Below	Unsuccessful	0.61%
		Two Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
				One Level Below	Success	0.23%
					Unsuccessful	0.08%
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.31%
				Two Levels Below	Unsuccessful	0.08%
Two Levels	Success	College Level	Success	College Level	Success	0.08%
Below			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	1.91%
		One Level Below	Success	College Level	Success	1.07%
					Unsuccessful	0.76%
				No More Math	No More Math	2.22%
				One Level Below	Success	0.08%
			Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	0.08%
				No More Math	No More Math	1.53%
				One Level Below	Success	
		Two Lough Dela	Succes-	No Moro Math	Unsuccessful	0.1%
		WU LEVEIS BEIOW	GUUCESS		Success	0.23%
				One Level Below	Unguccosoful	0.09%
			Unsuccessful	No More Meth	No More Moth	0.31%
			Shoooosaaidi	Two Levels Relow	Unsuccessful	0.15%
	Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
		Four Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.15%
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	9.71%
		One Level Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.31%
		Three Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.38%
				Two Levels Below	Success	0.08%
					Unsuccessful	0.08%
			Unsuccessful	Four Levels Below	Success	0.08%
				No More Math	No More Math	0.23%
				Three Levels Below	Success	0.08%
		Two Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.92%
				One Level Below	Success	0.38%
					Unsuccessful	0.61%
				Two Levels Below	Success	0.08%
					Unsuccessful	0.08%
			Unsuccessful	Four Levels Below	Success	0.08%
				No More Math	No More Math	2.14%
				Three Levels Below	Success	0.08%
				I wo Levels Below	Success	0.15%
	2		0		Unsuccessful	0.08%
I nree Levels	SUCCESS	FOUT LEVEIS BEIOW	SUCCESS	NO MORE Math	NO MORE Math	
						u 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 130 140 150 Unique ID

Unique ID for each 3rd Math Result broken down by 1st Math_Level, 1st Math Result, 2nd Math Level, 2nd Math Result and 3rd Math Level. The marks are labeled by % of Total Unique ID. The data is filtered on 1st Math_Academic_Period and 1st Math_Final_Grade. The 1st Math_Academic_Period filter keeps 201280. The 1st Math_Final_Grade AU.

Sheet 1

1st Math_Level	1st Math Result	2nd Math Level	2nd Math Res	3rd Math Level	3rd Math Res														
Three Levels	Success	Four Levels Below	Unsuccessful	Four Levels Below	Success	0.08%													
Below				No More Math	No More Math	0.08%													
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math		0.99%												
		Two Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	8.0	34%												
				One Level Below	Success	0.54%													
					Unsuccessful	0.15%													
				Two Levels Below	Success	0.08%													
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	8.0	34%												
				Two Levels Below	Success	0.15%													
					Unsuccessful	0.31%													
	Unsuccessful	Four Levels Below	Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%													
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math			2.37%											
		Three Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%													
		Two Levels Below	Success	One Level Below	Success	0.08%													
			Unsuccessful	One Level Below	Unsuccessful	0.08%													
				Three Levels Below	Unsuccessful	0.08%													
Four Levels	Success	College Level	Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%													
Below		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math			2.14%											
		One Level Below	Success	College Level	Success	0.08%													
			Unsuccessful	College Level	Success	0.08%													
		Three Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math		1.53%												
				One Level Below	Unsuccessful	0.08%													
				Two Levels Below	Success		1.30%												
					Unsuccessful		0.99%												
			Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.76	5%												
				Three Levels Below	Success	0.31%													
					Unsuccessful	0.08%													
		Two Levels Below	Success	One Level Below	Unsuccessful	0.08%													
	Unsuccessful	Four Levels Below	Success	No More Math	No More Math	0.38%													
				Three Levels Below	Success	0.08%													
					Unsuccessful	0.23%													
			Unsuccessful	Four Levels Below	Unsuccessful	0.08%													
				No More Math	No More Math		0.99%												
		No More Math	No More Math	No More Math	No More Math								6.35%						
		Three Levels Below	Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.23%													
		Two Levels Below	Unsuccessful	No More Math	No More Math	0.08%													
						10	20	30	40	50	60	70 8 Unique ID	80 90	100	110	120	130	140	150

Unique ID for each 3rd Math Result broken down by 1st Math_Level, 1st Math Result, 2nd Math Level, 2nd Math Result and 3rd Math Level. The marks are labeled by % of Total Unique ID. The data is filtered on 1st Math_Academic_Period and 1st Math_Final_Grade. The 1st Math_Academic_Period filter keeps 201280. The 1st Math_Final_Grade AU.

Summer and Fall Registration Dates

Monday, March 17	Summer and Fall schedules available online
Monday, April 21	VETS/FYSI/DSP/EOPS
Tuesday, April 22	Summer Bridge, Athletes, ASSC
Wednesday, April 23	Continuing
Wednesday, April 30	New Students who HAVE completed Orientation and Assessment
Thursday, May 1	Returning
Monday, May 5	Transfer
Tuesday, May 6	New Students who HAVE NOT Completed Orientation and Assessment
Wednesday, May 7	К12
Thursday, May 8	Open Registration
Monday, June 16	Summer Classes Begin
Wednesday, August 13	Fall Classes Begin

All schedule information below is correct as of time of press. For up to date schedule information please visit **www.solano.edu**

Main Campus - Fairfield							
ENGL 001	College Composition						
<u>Course Number</u>	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor			
60012	Online	MTWR	-	Cobene H			
60013	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	10:00 AM-11:50 AM	Vogelpohl J			
60014	Lecture and/or discussion	MW	06:00 PM-08:50 PM	Lamons-Raiford M			
60015	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	08:00 AM-09:50 AM	Vogelpohl J			
ENGL 002	Crit Thk/Writ Lit						
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor			
60016	Online	MTWR	-	Cobene H			
60017	Lecture and/or discussion	MW	05:45 PM-09:35 PM	Smith J			
60019	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	10:00 AM-12:25 PM	Schneider T			
ENGL 004	Crit Thnkng & Comp						
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor			
60020	Online	MTWR	-	McBride C			
60021	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	10:00 AM-11:50 AM	Johanna N			
60272	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	-	McBride C			
ENGL 310	Writing Skills Lab						
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor			
60022	Laboratory/Studio/Activity		-	Ozbirinci P			
ENGL 370	English Fundamentals						
<u>Course Number</u>	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor			

Summer 2014 offerings in English

60023	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	08:00 AM-09:50 AM	Engelbrecht A
60024	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	10:00 AM-11:50 AM	Ozbirinci P
60025	Lecture and/or discussion	MW	06:00 PM-08:50 PM	Demartini D
ENGL 370L	English Fundamentals Lab			
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor
60026	Laboratory/Studio/Activity	MTWR	08:30 AM-09:45 AM	Ozbirinci P
60027	Laboratory/Studio/Activity	MTWR	-	Engelbrecht A
60028	Laboratory/Studio/Activity	TR	06:00 PM-07:20 PM	Demartini D
ENGL 380	Adv. English Fund.			
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor
60029	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	12:00 PM-01:50 PM	Johanna N
Vallejo Center				
ENGL 001	College Composition			
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor
60030	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	10:00 AM-11:50 AM	Federle S
Vacaville Center				
ENGL 001	College Composition			
Course Number	Schedule Type	Meeting Days	Meeting Times	Instructor
60031	Lecture and/or discussion	MTWR	08:00 AM-09:50 AM	Wright R
60032	Lecture and/or discussion	MW	06:00 PM-08:50 PM	Juarez L
60033	Lecture and/or discussion	TR	06:00 PM-08:50 PM	Juarez L

E4.5

Fall 2011 Cohort Analysis

Peter Cammish Research and Planning

What we will cover

- Definitions
- Looking at success (different definitions)
- Examine the Data (Fall 2011 students)
- Who are the cohort students?
- What do they do?

Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Fall 2012	Spring 2013	Fall 2013
-----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-----------

Definitions

• Cohort



Retention

Calculated for a given course or section



Persistence/Drop Out Rate



Pass Rate

- % of students who get a passing grade
 - A
 - B
 - C
 - -CR
 - P

Looking at Success

• Multiple definitions – not just awards

- **Transfer Directed** A student who has successfully completed a transferable English and a transferable math course.
- **Transfer Prepared** A student who has earned 60+ transferable units with a 2.00+ GPA.
- **Transfer Ready** A student who is both transfer directed and transfer prepared.

The Fall 2011 Cohort

10,937 Students start out and we track for 5 primary semesters

Fall 2011 - Ethnicity

Fall 2011 Gender

Age Group

Fall 2011 – Semester Number

Fall 2011 – Semester Number





Fall 2011 – How Many Semesters Attended?



Green bars show the number of students while the red line shows the average number of credits attempted.

6% of our students stay past census and then drop everything. These students typically have a low credit load.

20% of students are new and are attempting 8.7 credits on average.

Over 10% of students are in their 11th to 20th semesters and have an average of 73.8 credits

First English (all Students)



First Semester Students First English



Measure Names % Return in Spring Count F11 Success Rate

Out of 2245 first year students 1052 (46.8%) are not taking an English course. These students have the lowest rate of persistence.

The 462 (20%) taking "College Level" English in their first semester have the best success rate.

First Math (all students)



First Semester Students First Math



Count F11 Success Rate Out of 2245 first year students 1088 (46.8%) are not taking an English

(46.8%) are not taking an English course. These students have the lowest rate of persistence.

The 462 (20%) taking "College Level" English in their first semester have the best success rate.

Age and English



Over 10% of our students here in F11 are over 41.

This group has the lowest participation in English courses with over 60% in the 46+ age group never haven taken an English class at SCC.

The 462 (20%) taking "College Level" English in their first semester have the best success rate.

First English Level (group)

College Level Basic Skills

Duolo Ontilio

No SCC English

Age and Math



Over 10% of our students here in F11 are over 41.

This group has the lowest participation in Math courses with over 63% in the 46+ age group never haven taken a Math class at SCC.

First Math Level (group)

College Level

Basic Skills

No SCC Math

Course Achievement: First Year Students



Course success is looked at in a slightly different way.

This chart looks at the percentage of students in each ethnic group who



Fail All

Pass Some

Pass All

Course Achievement – Persistence (First Year Students)



Students who fail all of their first year classes are twice as likely to drop out and make up over 40% of the drop out population

All Students Persistence



On average 64% of students in Fall 2011 with return Spring 2012. Black Non-Hispanic students are below average for persistence with only 58% returning.

English Level and Retention



English level also effects retention rate. Those in the top 3 levels have the highest retention rate while the lower basic skills level have below average. Interestingly the lowest retention rate is amongst those who have never took SCC English.

Semester 2 (Spring 2012)



Semester 3 (Fall 2012)



Semester 4 (Spring 2013)



Semester 5 (Fall 2013)



Success Rate over Time



For the students that do stay on success rate will typically increase over time. The exception is American Indian/AK Native students

5 Year Persistence (First Year Students)



Excluding the 95 first year students who gained an degree or certificate only 628 out of 2,136 (29% remain) of those who started out in Fall 2011



Credit Accumulation from First Year



5th Semester New Students and Success in Credit English



Even by the 5th semester those F11 new students are still affected by not taking English. 32% had still not taken an English course at SCC and those students had an average pass rate of 59%

Awards



COUN 007 Crosstab

		No SCC English		Basic Skills		College	Level	
Term Counter (group) 1 (copy)	C007 Status 1	F11 Enrollment	F11 Success Rate	F11 Enrollment	F11 Success Rate	F11 Enrollment	F11 Success Rate	
DropOut	No	306	0.0%	234	0.0%	130	0.0%	
	Yes	2	0.0%	7	0.0%			
First Year	Current	5	90.0%	18	78.3%	2	90.0%	
	No	1,047	61.4%	713	62.1%	460	75.7%	
Continuing	Current	5	92.0%	34	81.5%	13	84.5%	
	No	2,033	72.7%	3,287	67.5%	2,498	78.1%	
	Yes	8	46.9%	94	74.0%	41	83.3%	

Questions



Solano Community College 320 Apportionment Attendance (FTES) Report

CCCFS 320 - FTES Trend (2008-2009 to Current)							
	District Vacaville						
Academic Year	Total	Center	Vallejo Center				
2008-2009	9,142.80	438.44	1,256.20				
2009-2010	9,542.70	485.82	1,380.30				
2010-2011	9,317.90	664.65	1,247.80				
2011-2012	8,522.90	700.03	962.68				
2012-2013	6,992.80	964.23	836.11				
2013-2014 (PO included as of 5/2/14)	7,483.39	1027.26	879.00				





Enrollment Trend for Summer and Fall 2014

Priority Registration Dates

April 21	Day 1	VETS/FYSI/DSP/EOPS
April 22	Day 2	Athletes, ASSC
April 23	Day 3	Continuing, Summer Bridge
April 30	Day 10	New Students who HAVE completed Orientation and Assessment
May 1	Day 11	Returning
May 5	Day 15	Transfer
May 6	Day 16	New Students who HAVE NOT Completed Orientation and Assessment
May 7	Day 17	К12
May 8	Day 18	Open





2013-2014 FTES Summary (Summer – Spring)

Summer 2013	Resident FTES	Non-Resident FTES
Vacaville	104.12	0.81
Vallejo	127.38	1.77
Main Campus	493.31	4.63
Total	724.81	7.20

Spring 2014	Resident FTES	Non-Resident FTES
Vacaville	470.65	6.56
Vallejo	394.60	3.65
Main Campus	2450.64	34.06
Total	3315.90	44.26

Fall 2013	Resident FTES	Non-Resident FTES
Vacaville	452.49	6.92
Vallejo	357.02	4.19
Main Campus	2504.43	30.36
Total	3313.94	41.47

2013-2014 AY	Resident FTES	Non-Resident FTES	
Vacaville	1027.27	14.28	
Vallejo	879.00	9.61	
Main Campus	5448.38	69.04	
Positive Projection	128.74		
Total	7483.39	92.93	

	Sections		Average FTES		
Academic Year	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Summer 2013	268		3.78	2.78	
Fall	979	1094	3.55	3.42	3.42
Spring	1045		3.34	3.21	
Summer 2014	345			2.78	
Total	2637	1094			

	FT	ES	Average Fill Rate %		
Academic Year	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	
Summer 2013	724.81	-	-	70.71%	
Fall	3,313.94	3,835.58	83.05%	79.63%	
Spring	3,315.90		79.63%	74.52%	
Spring Positive	128.74				
Summer 2014	963.16				
Total	8,446.55	3,835.58	80.76%	76.27%	

2013-2014 Academic Year	Resident FTES 2013-14
Summer 2013	724.81
Fall 2013	3,313.94
Spring 2014	3,315.90
Spring 2014 Positive Attendance (est.)	128.74
Subtotal	7,483.39

Summer 2014	Resident FTES as of 5-2-14	Resident FTES 2013-14 target
Section Offerings	345	366
FTES (based on Avg. FTES from Summer 13)	963.16	1016.61
Total FTES for 2013-14	8,446.55	8,500.00

E4.7	Enrollment Report Summer 2014								
	Summe	r 2014 enrollmer	nt report	- covers enrollments from	n	21-Apr-2014	to	13-May-2014	
ncludes	6,054	enrollments, by	3,609	students, generating	682.46	attendance FTES	5		

Target

School and Delivery Method Crosstab

Table below shows the number of enrollments as well as the headcount for each School as well as Delivery Method of the class.

		Laborator y/Studio/ Activity	Lecture and/or discussion	Lecture/La b	Online	Total
Applied Technology &	Enrollments	0	308	76	630	1,014
Business	Headcount	0	286	71	474	783
Counseling & Spec.	Enrollments	0	124	0	100	224
Services	Headcount	0	103	0	94	190
Health Sciences	Enrollments	0	74	24	62	160
	Headcount	0	74	24	61	158
Human Perform. &	Enrollments	0	111	317	129	557
Development	Headcount	0	102	273	127	492
IT & Learning Resources	Enrollments	0	0	0	157	157
	Headcount	0	0	0	157	157
Liberal Arts	Enrollments	66	896	78	330	1,370
	Headcount	66	816	74	312	1,165
Mathematics and	Enrollments	54	263	995	285	1,597
Science	Headcount	54	245	920	268	1,397
Social & Behavioral	Enrollments	0	514	8	453	975
Sciences	Headcount	0	477	8	410	861
Total	Enrollments Headcount	120 120	2,290 1,756	1,498 1,316	2,146 1,485	6,054 3,609

Campus Enrollments

Chart below shows the number of enrollments (n), headcount (distinct n) as well as the FTES generated at each campus location



Demographics

Chart below shows the headcount enrollment of students by Student Type

Chart below shows the percent enrollments by gender



Chart below shows the headcount enrollment of students by major





Chart below shows the headcount enrollment of students by ethnicity

Ethnicity



Chart below shows the headcount enrollment of students by age group



Chart below shows the headcount enrollment of students by home city



Historic Enrollment Trends Summer

Chart below shows enrollment trends over time for Summer semesters. The blue line shows total enrollments, the red line shows the headcount while the green line shows FTES.



--Enrollments ---Headcount ----FTES

	Summer 2009	Summer 2010	Summer 2011	Summer 2013	Summer 2014
FTES Enrollments	1,112.09 10,467	914.54 8,807	798.81 7,313	743.26 6,534	682.46 6,054
Headcount	6,597	5,676	4,788	4,252	3,609
FTES per Student	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.19
Enroll per Student	1.59	1.55	1.53	1.54	1.68

The following charts show trends in FTES and headcount enrollments by different student demographics. The FTES is expressed as a percent of total FTES generated to allow for easier analysis of changes over time

Trend by Student Ethnicity

		Summer 200	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201
	% FTES	0.03%	0.02%	0.07%	0.06%	0.16%
	Headcount	2	2	5	3	7
Am. Indian or	% FTES	2.46%	2.83%	2.98%	3.42%	3.46%
Alaskan Native	Headcount	151	139	145	139	120
Asian or Pacific	% FTES	18.82%	19.53%	19.57%	21.15%	21.41%
Islander	Headcount	1,149	1,079	929	842	756
Black	% FTES	14.09%	15.76%	16.85%	18.16%	17.43%
Non-Hispanic	Headcount	933	945	822	798	616
Hispanic	% FTES	14.33%	14.71%	17.22%	22.56%	22.52%
	Headcount	947	859	844	990	849
Other	% FTES	24.89%	19.67%	15.98%	5.12%	3.87%
	Headcount	1,724	1,098	722	211	140
White	% FTES	25.38%	27.47%	27.32%	29.53%	31.16%
Non-Hispanic	Headcount	1,691	1,554	1,321	1,269	1,121
Total	% FTES	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	Headcount	6,597	5,676	4,788	4,252	3,609

		Summer 200	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201
	% FTES	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%
	Headcount	0	0	0	1	0
Female	% FTES	59.03%	58.83%	58.82%	60.04%	64.18%
	Headcount	3,900	3,355	2,836	2,591	2,318
Male	% FTES	39.54%	39.85%	40.37%	38.75%	34.12%
	Headcount	2,602	2,237	1,908	1,617	1,231
Not Reported	% FTES	1.43%	1.33%	0.81%	1.19%	1.70%
	Headcount	95	84	44	43	60
Total	% FTES	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	Headcount	6,597	5,676	4,788	4,252	3,609

Trend by Student High School (Top 10 recorded)

		Summer 200	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201
Unknown High	% FTES	15.58%	16.44%	16.40%	14.95%	13.56%
School	Headcount	1,088	965	772	634	510
Unknown CA	% FTES	16.50%	16.07%	15.33%	14.53%	12.19%
High School	Headcount	1,077	932	754	662	442
Armijo High	% FTES	7.78%	7.83%	7.26%	8.41%	7.96%
	Headcount	487	444	347	343	285
Fairfield High	% FTES	6.94%	6.85%	6.84%	6.05%	6.31%
	Headcount	464	378	332	263	234
Vacaville High	% FTES	6.10%	5.84%	6.42%	6.72%	6.51%
	Headcount	393	312	296	271	235
Vanden High	% FTES	5.13%	5.98%	5.52%	6.29%	6.47%
	Headcount	320	318	264	264	233
Wood (Will C.)	% FTES	5.26%	4.99%	4.79%	5.19%	5.93%
High	Headcount	332	277	226	225	210
Vallejo High	% FTES	4.48%	3.66%	4.11%	3.90%	3.33%
	Headcount	297	224	194	150	111
Benicia High	% FTES	3.54%	3.10%	3.56%	2.65%	2.53%
	Headcount	232	180	174	122	91
Hogan High	% FTES	4.60%	3.54%	3.28%	2.25%	2.71%
	Headcount	281	195	148	97	95
Others	% FTES	24.08%	25.69%	26.49%	29.05%	32.49%
	Headcount	1,626	1,451	1,281	1,221	1,163
Total	% FTES	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	Headcount	6,597	5,676	4,788	4,252	3,609

		Summer 200	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201
	% FTES	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	Headcount	1	0	0	0	0
Main Campus -	% FTES	51.75%	53.93%	51.49%	68.28%	68.32%
Fairfield	Headcount	3,830	3,382	2,753	3,135	2,752
Nut Tree Airport	% FTES	0.00%	0.16%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
- Vacaville	Headcount	0	12	0	0	0
Online	% FTES	17.85%	19.91%	21.23%	0.00%	0.00%
	Headcount	1,553	1,506	1,371	0	0
Other	% FTES	0.83%	1.57%	3.17%	0.60%	0.00%
	Headcount	126	121	117	30	8
Travis Air Force	% FTES	0.15%	0.21%	0.28%	0.55%	0.50%
Base	Headcount	18	21	24	33	36
Vacaville Center	% FTES	6.10%	8.68%	7.77%	13.20%	12.79%
	Headcount	595	663	544	721	629
Vallejo Center	% FTES	23.32%	15.55%	16.05%	17.37%	18.39%
	Headcount	1,855	1,058	932	903	855
Total	% FTES	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Headcount	6,597	5,676	4,788	4,252	3,609

Trend by Division

		Summer 200	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201
Academic	% FTES	1.42%	0.74%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Success &	Headcount	211	206	0	0	0
Applied	% FTES	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%	0.34%	16.38%
Technology &	Headcount	2	0	0	20	783
Career Technical	% FTES	10.94%	11.98%	20.52%	15.39%	0.00%
Edu. & Bus	Headcount	970	867	1,237	839	0
Career Technical	% FTES	7.30%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Education	Headcount	508	0	0	0	0
Counseling &	% FTES	2.09%	1.96%	2.36%	2.92%	2.52%
Spec. Services	Headcount	261	193	206	322	190
Health Sciences	% FTES	2.37%	9.60%	0.00%	2.26%	2.22%
	Headcount	202	735	0	166	158
Human Perform.	% FTES	10.18%	12.34%	10.90%	8.90%	7.78%
& Development	Headcount	1,353	1,236	982	671	492
IT & Learning	% FTES	0.00%	1	0.00%	0.00%	0.77%
Resources	Headcount	0		0	0	157
Liberal Arts	% FTES	12.22%	12.22%	25.91%	24.69%	19.12%
	Headcount	1,316	1,085	1,664	1,574	1,165
Mathematics	% FTES	34.79%	35.25%	40.31%	45.15%	37.69%
and Science	Headcount	2,254	1,956	1,962	1,948	1,397

		Summer 200	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201	Summer 201
Social &	% FTES	18.67%	15.91%	0.00%	0.00%	13.52%
Behavioral	Headcount	1,873	1,316	0	0	861
Vacaville &	% FTES	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.35%	0.00%
Travis	Headcount	0	0	0	26	0
Total	% FTES	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
	Headcount	6,597	5,676	4,788	4,252	3,609

2 1 3 Academic Year 2013-14 2014-15 2015-16 2016-17 2017-18 2018-19 8,502 Target 8,502 8,502 8,634 8,766 8,898 Restoration 09/10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Restoration 11/12 132 132 132 0 8,898 **Final Target** 8,502 8,502 8,634 8,766 8,898 Growth % 0.0% 1.6% 1.5% 1.5% 0.0% Summer 726 1,000 900 900 900 900 Intercession 15 18 35 35 35 35 3,700 3,907 Fall 3,561 3,985 4,025 4,065 Spring 3,700 3,907 3,985 4,025 4,065 3,665 Borrow Yes Yes No No No Summer Adjustment (536) (620) 0 0 0 Annual Total 7,966 8,905 8,985 9,065 7,882 8,129 Balance 139 (536)(620) (505 87 167 8,502

\$ 37,109,844

Final Balance

Borrowing Error Funding

2600

2500 2400

2300

2200 2100

2000

8,502

\$ 38,811,630

\$ 38,811,630













FTES Modeling

SOLANO COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT POSITION DESCRIPTION

CLASS TITLE: DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AND PLANNING (Classified Manager)

BASIC FUNCTION:

Under the direction of the Dean of Research, Planning and Institutional Effectiveness, perform duties related to development and management of a college research and planning processes; coordinates strategic planning and research efforts; ensures accurate compliance with internal program review processes; responsible for development and dissemination of planning information. Prepare and maintain data and reports critical to decision making. Provide assistance and counsel in the analysis and interpretation of academic and administrative data in support of decision making and policy formation; coordinate external environmental scanning. Provide management support to the College's ongoing institutional effectiveness program.

REPRESENTATIVE DUTIES:

Work closely with all administrative offices and College committees in determining research projects.

Actively manage the Colleges Program Review process. Monitor timelines and compliance, support the process with training and resources as required as well as communicate results and recommendations coming from Program Review

Act as technical consultant on Service Area Outcomes. Provide training to managers on developing sound outcomes for their service areas. Provide suggestions and support on developing success criteria, assessment methods and results interpretation.

Prepare, maintain and report on a variety of comprehensive statistical studies related to enrollment, matriculation, demographics, equity, student learning outcomes, course scheduling patterns and other mandated information; assure the timely processing and distribution of reports to State agencies and College administrators.

Liaise with webmaster to ensure reports are freely available and accessible by internal and external stakeholders.

Oversee validation of the accuracy of the College's Apportionment Attendance Reports (CCFS-320) to the Chancellor's Office.

Work with Dean of Research, Planning and Effectiveness to identify major college decision points and produce impartial analysis to support decision making.

Conceptualize, design and execute research projects by establishing framework, data elements and methodology and compile and analyze complex statistical data and report findings in narrative or graphic formats.
Director of Research & Planning - Continued

Develop, format, write and edit formal published reports, as required by the College and the State or other external agencies; prepare informal written reports and make oral presentations to the Board of Trustees, individuals and small groups, both on and off campus as appropriate.

Provide technical expertise to faculty and staff regarding research projects and methodology.

Maintain contacts with off-campus research sources and organizations.

May work on research projects in cooperation with other agencies and academic institutions and coordinate staff activities involved in participation in such projects.

Through continued study and participation in professional organizations, maintain current in the fields of organizational planning and post-secondary education, writing summaries, as appropriate, and advising staff and others regarding practical applications.

Coordinate and support a broad-based, comprehensive, collaborative program of District planning, which incorporates the outcomes of program review, accreditation, and other on-going evaluation programs.

Serve as a resource staff member for the District's Shared Governance Council, assisting this body in gathering data for, drafting, and publishing the District's annual report.

Serve as the facilitator for the District's Planning Committees

Coordinate and maintain the District plans, incorporating program reviews, internal and external data scans, and needs assessments.

Identify primary institutional source data and external local, State and national demographic data to be used in research projects and reports.

Conceptualize and interpret complex data and develop clear, concise recommendations to effectuate improvement in programs, planning and strategic goals.

Assist in evaluation of progress toward achievement of adopted goals, recommending actions where appropriate.

Develop systematized methods for the gathering of data, including, student learning outcome assessment, workload measures, financial indicators and program review.

Assist in preparation and administration of the budget for the Office of Research and Planning; ensure expenditures are correctly recorded and account balances are sufficient to cover costs.

Assist in the evaluation, selection and implementation of new data analytic software

Hire, orient, train, assign, schedule, supervise and evaluate office staff, assuring that applicable regulations and policies are adhered to and applied correctly.

Maintain work unit standards for service efforts and other quality control measures and facilitate improvements in quality of staff efforts.

Assist in administration of the District's collective bargaining agreements, as appropriate.

Serve on appropriate committees and represent management, as requested.

Perform related duties as assigned.

KNOWLEDGE AND ABILITIES:

KNOWLEDGE OF:

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity policy and practices that lead to increased understanding of, sensitivity to, and respect for diverse cultural groups, women, and the disabled.

Local and State planning and evaluation processes.

Outcomes assessment and program review processes

Research methodology and statistical analyses related to student performance,

course scheduling and administrative functions.

Compilation, analysis and distribution of complex data.

Enrollment, admission and matriculation procedures and regulations.

Oral and written communication skills, including formal report and technical writing.

Personnel management practices and techniques of supervision.

Interpersonal skills, using tact, patience and courtesy.

Budget preparation and control techniques.

Correct English usage, grammar, spelling, punctuation and vocabulary.

Modern office practices, procedures and equipment, including database, spreadsheet, word processing, and statistical software.

ABILITY TO:

Manage and support complicated internal processes

Design and develop structured data-gathering and analysis systems, including survey questions.

Design and implement data-collection systems in support of institutional planning, both operational and strategic.

Prepare, format, edit and distribute narrative and statistical reports.

Develop special analytical studies related to matriculation components, curriculum, instructional programs, and college management.

Operate a computer and a variety of spreadsheet, database, reporting and word processing programs.

Communicate effectively, both orally and in writing.

Demonstrate an understanding and sensitivity to the diverse socio-economic, cultural and ethnic background of all employees, including the disabled.

Establish and maintain cooperative and effective working relationships with others.

Analyze situations accurately and adopt an effective course of action.

Work independently with little direction.

Plan and organize work.

EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE:

Any combination equivalent to: a master's degree, including or supplemented by course work in statistics, research methodology or closely related fields, and two years of experience in institutional or educational research and administration; community college experience desired.

RJS/zg

Board approved:	6/16/99
Revised:	8/12/13

Director of IR: Need Analysis

Each replacement position will be evaluated to the extent of the need to fill the position considering factors such as legal requirements and institutional priorities. Please respond to the following questions on a separate document, attach it to this form, and return the information to the Human Resources Department.

1. Describe the purpose and major function of the position and describe how this position will benefit the department and the District. What are the potential consequences of not filling this position?

The major purpose and function of the position will be to perform duties related to development and management of a college research and planning processes; coordinates strategic planning and research efforts; ensures accurate compliance with internal program review processes; responsible for development and dissemination of planning information, enrollment trends. Provide assistance and counsel in the analysis and interpretation of academic and administrative data in support of decision making and policy formation; coordinate external environmental scanning. Provides management support to the College's ongoing institutional effectiveness program.

Not filling the position will severely slow down and/or reduce the effectiveness of the districts research and planning capabilities. In a time when the college is becoming more 'research aware' and seeing the benefits of sound evidence based decisions to lever internal resources requests for data and help planning directed to the research and planning office have increased dramatically. Coupled with mandated state and federal requirements, as well as an increasing role supporting grant applications and management the ability to conduct internal research to support program review and evidence based decision making has been stretched beyond capacity.

2. Does this position provide support for compliance with state and federal legal requirements? If so, please explain.

The position will support compliance. The position will oversee validity of 320 reporting as well as act as keyholder for IPEDs reporting. The position will also respond to new formal reporting requirements including the Student Success Act and Student Loan Default Rate reporting.

3. Does this position have any direct or indirect impact on the college's ability to generate revenue? If so, please explain.

The major direct impact is on the support of grant applications. This is a relatively new and underexploited source of revenue for the district but is an area that has demonstrated recent growth. Nearly all grant applications require data in support as well as data for assessment of impact. This position will be heavily involved in supporting data requests originating from grant applications.

4. Would this position have any health and safety implications if it were not filled? If so, please explain.

None

5. Is there any way this service can be provided in another manner by reorganizing or restructuring the department or program/service to become more efficient and effective?

Not at this time. There is potential that over time we could better utilize technology or that managers will become more adept at planning. However, this potential is so variable and

dependent upon so many factors outside of control of this department it is not a certainty. If we could become more efficient at some of the tasks identified we could then consider restructuring. There are just so many internal research and planning services we are currently not engaged in fully. Any future restructuring needs to include this position.

6. Can this service be provided in fewer hours per day or months per year? If not, please explain.

Potentially, although the effectiveness of a part time position would be limited there is possibility that the service could be provided in fewer hours. In this case it would be expected that the scope or quality of work would be impacted.

7. Do you have any suggestions or alternatives to not filling this position such as a technological way to provide the service or to eliminate the service altogether? If so, please explain.

We could always improve the service, particularly by better utilizing technology. This position would be key to improving the service with technology. However, this is a long term project that would be impossible to complete without the position.